CULTURAL RESOURCES ASSESSMENT

Truck Parking Project Assessor Parcel Number 306-456-106
Hesperia, San Bernardino County, California

Prepared for:

David Golkar, P.E.
Golkardavid@gmail.com
(424) 279-0909

Prepared by:

David Brunzell, M.A., RPA
Contributions by Nicholas Shepetuk, B.A.
BCR Consulting LLC
Claremont, California 91711

Project No. GOL2301

Data Base Information:
Type of Study: Intensive Survey
Resources: None
Keywords: None
USGS Quadrangle: 7.5-minute *Baldy Mesa* (1988), *California*

BCRCONSULTING LLC

June 26, 2023

MANAGEMENT SUMMARY

BCR Consulting LLC (BCR Consulting) is under contract with David Golkar to complete a Cultural Resources Assessment of the proposed Truck Parking Project (the project; Assessor Parcel Number 306-456-106) located in the City of Hesperia (City), San Bernardino County, California. A cultural resources records search, intensive-level pedestrian field survey, Native American Heritage Commission (NAHC) Sacred Lands File Search, and vertebrate paleontological resources overview were conducted for the project in partial fulfillment of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). The records search results revealed that 17 previous cultural resource studies have taken place, and 17 cultural resources have been identified within the 0.5-mile research radius of the project site. None of the previous studies have assessed the project site and no cultural resources have been identified within its boundaries. No cultural resources of any kind (including historic-period or prehistoric archaeological resources, or historic-period architectural resources) were identified during the field survey. Therefore, no significant impact related to historical resources is anticipated and no further investigations are recommended for the proposed project unless:

- The proposed project is changed to include areas that have not been subject to this cultural resource assessment;
- Cultural materials are encountered during project activities.

The current study attempted to determine whether significant archaeological deposits were present on the proposed project site. Although none were yielded during the records search and field survey, ground-disturbing activities have the potential to reveal buried deposits not observed on the surface. Prior to the initiation of ground-disturbing activities, field personnel should be alerted to the possibility of buried prehistoric or historic cultural deposits. In the event that field personnel encounter buried cultural materials, work in the immediate vicinity of the find should cease and a qualified archaeologist should be retained to assess the significance of the find. The qualified archaeologist shall have the authority to stop or divert construction excavation as necessary. If the qualified archaeologist finds that any cultural resources present meet eligibility requirements for listing on the California Register or the National Register of Historic Places (National Register), plans for the treatment, evaluation, and mitigation of impacts to the find will need to be developed. Prehistoric or historic cultural materials that may be encountered during ground-disturbing activities include:

- historic-period artifacts such as glass bottles and fragments, cans, nails, ceramic and pottery fragments, and other metal objects;
- historic-period structural or building foundations, walkways, cisterns, pipes, privies, and other structural elements;
- prehistoric flaked-stone artifacts and debitage (waste material), consisting of obsidian, basalt, and or cryptocrystalline silicates;
- groundstone artifacts, including mortars, pestles, and grinding slabs;
- dark, greasy soil that may be associated with charcoal, ash, bone, shell, flaked stone, groundstone, and fire affected rocks;
- human remains.

Findings were positive during the Sacred Lands File search with the NAHC. The NAHC did not indicate the nature or location of the resources(s), but recommended contacting representatives of the San Manuel Band of Mission Indians and the Chemehuevi Indian Tribe

for more information. Representatives from both entities were emailed on February 8, 2028 and no response has been received to date (see Appendix A). The City will initiate Assembly Bill (AB) 52 Native American Consultation for the project, as required. Since the city will initiate and carry out the required Native American Consultation, the results of the consultation are not provided in this request. However, this report may be used during the consultation process, and BCR Consulting staff is available to answer questions and address concerns as necessary.

According to CEQA Guidelines, projects subject to CEQA must determine whether the project would "directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource". The Paleontological Overview provided in Appendix B has recommended that:

> The geologic units underlying this project are mapped entirely as alluvial deposits dating from the Pleistocene to Holocene epochs (Dibblee & Minch, 2008). Pleistocene alluvial units are considered to be of high paleontological sensitivity and are well known throughout southern California to contain abundant fossil resources. The Western Science Center does not have localities within the project area or within a 1-mile radius, but does have numerous localities throughout the region in similarly mapped alluvial units associated with mastodon (Mammut pacificus), mammoth (Mammuthus columbi), ancient horse (Equus sp.), camel (Camelops hesternus) and many more.
>
> Any fossil specimens recovered from the Hesperia Project (SRD2101) would be scientifically significant. Excavation activity associated with the development of the project area would impact the paleontologically sensitive Pleistocene sandstone units, and it is the recommendation of the Western Science Center that a paleontological resource mitigation program be put in place to monitor, salvage, and curate any recovered fossils from the study area.

If human remains are encountered during any project activities, State Health and Safety Code Section 7050.5 states that no further disturbance shall occur until the County Coroner has made a determination of origin and disposition pursuant to Public Resources Code Section 5097.98. The County Coroner must be notified of the find immediately. If the remains are determined to be prehistoric, the Coroner will notify the NAHC, which will determine and notify a Most Likely Descendant (MLD). With the permission of the landowner or his/her authorized representative, the MLD may inspect the site of the discovery. The MLD shall complete the inspection within 48 hours of notification by the NAHC.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

FIGURES

TABLES

APPENDICES

INTRODUCTION

BCR Consulting LLC (BCR Consulting) is under contract with David Golkar to complete a Cultural Resources Assessment of the proposed Truck Parking Project (the project) Parcel 306-456-106 located in the City of Hesperia (City), San Bernardino County, California. A cultural resources records search, intensive-level pedestrian field survey, Native American Heritage Commission (NAHC) Sacred Lands File Search, and vertebrate paleontological resources overview were conducted for the project in partial fulfillment of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). The project site, as identified in this report, will occupy a portion of Section 21, Township 4 North, Range 5 West, San Bernardino Baseline and Meridian. It is depicted on the United States Geological Survey (USGS) *Baldy Mesa* (1988)*, California* 7.5-minute topographic quadrangle (Figure 1).

Regulatory Setting

The California Environmental Quality Act. CEQA applies to all discretionary projects undertaken or subject to approval by the state's public agencies (California Code of Regulations 14(3), § 15002(i)). Under CEQA, "A project with an effect that may cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource is a project that may have a significant effect on the environment" (Cal. Code Regs. tit. 14(3), § 15064.5(b)). State CEQA Guidelines section 15064.5(a) defines a "historical resource" as a resource that meets one or more of the following criteria:

- Listed in, or eligible for listing in, the California Register of Historical Resources (California Register)
- Listed in a local register of historical resources (as defined at Cal. Public Res. Code § 5020.1(k))
- Identified as significant in a historical resource survey meeting the requirements of § 5024.1(g) of the Cal. Public Res. Code
- Determined to be a historical resource by a project's lead agency (Cal. Code Regs. tit. 14(3), § 15064.5(a))

A historical resource consists of "Any object, building, structure, site, area, place, record, or manuscript which a lead agency determines to be historically significant or significant in the architectural, engineering, scientific, economic, agricultural, educational, social, political, military, or cultural annals of California…Generally, a resource shall be considered by the lead agency to be 'historically significant' if the resource meets the criteria for listing in the California Register of Historical Resources" (Cal. Code Regs. tit. 14(3), § 15064.5(a)(3)).

The significance of a historical resource is impaired when a project demolishes or materially alters in an adverse manner those physical characteristics of a historical resource that convey its historical significance and that justify its eligibility for the California Register. If an impact on a historical or archaeological resource is significant, CEQA requires feasible measures to minimize the impact (State CEQA Guidelines § 15126.4 (a)(1)). Mitigation of significant impacts must lessen or eliminate the physical impact that the project will have on the resource.

Generally, a resource is considered by the lead agency to be "historically significant" if the resource meets the criteria for listing in the California Register (Cal. Code Regs. tit. 14(3), § 15064.5(a)(3)). The eligibility criteria for the California Register are similar to those of the



BCRCONSULTING LLC Claremont Napa Tehachapi www.bcrconsulting.net 909-525-7078	Project Location Site Trucking Parking Project Reference: ESRI; USGS Quad: Baldy Mesa, California (1988)	Figure 1	David Golkar

National Register of Historic Places (National Register), and a resource that meets one or more of the eligibility criteria of the National Register will be eligible for the California Register.

The California Register program encourages public recognition and protection of resources of architectural, historical, archaeological, and cultural significance, identifies historical resources for state and local planning purposes, determines eligibility for state historic preservation grant funding and affords certain protections under CEQA. Criteria for Designation:

1. Associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad patterns of local or regional history or the cultural heritage of California or the United States.
2. Associated with the lives of persons important to local, California or national history.
3. Embodies the distinctive characteristics of a type, period, region or method of construction or represents the work of a master or possesses high artistic values.
4. Has yielded, or has the potential to yield, information important to the prehistory or history of the local area, California or the nation.

In addition to meeting one or more of the above criteria, the California Register requires that sufficient time has passed since a resource's period of significance to "obtain a scholarly perspective on the events or individuals associated with the resources." (CCR 4852 [d][2]). Fifty years is normally considered sufficient time for a potential historical resource, and in order that the evaluation remain valid for a minimum of five years after the date of this report, all resources older than 45 years (i.e. resources from the "historic-period") will be evaluated for California Register listing eligibility, or CEQA significance. The California Register also requires that a resource possess integrity. This is defined as the ability for the resource to convey its significance through seven aspects: location, setting, design, materials, workmanship, feeling, and association.

Finally, CEQA requires that significant effects on unique archaeological resources be considered and addressed. CEQA defines a unique archaeological resource as any archaeological artifact, object, or site about which it can be clearly demonstrated that, without merely adding to the current body of knowledge, there is a high probability that it meets any of the following criteria:

1. Contains information needed to answer important scientific research questions and there is a demonstrable public interest in that information.
2. Has a special and particular quality such as being the oldest of its type or the best available example of its type.
3. Is directly associated with a scientifically recognized important prehistoric or historic event or person.

CEQA Guidelines Section 15064.5 Appendix G includes significance criteria relative to archaeological and historical resources. These have been utilized as thresholds of significance here, and a project would have a significant environmental impact if it would:

a) cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as defined in section 10564.5;

b) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resource pursuant to Section 10564.5;

c) Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries.

Tribal Cultural Resources. The Legislature added requirements regarding tribal cultural resources for CEQA in Assembly Bill 52 (AB 52) that took effect July 1, 2015. AB 52 requires consultation with California Native American tribes and consideration of tribal cultural resources in the CEQA process. By including tribal cultural resources early in the CEQA process, the legislature intended to ensure that local and Tribal governments, public agencies, and project proponents would have information available, early in the project planning process, to identify and address potential adverse impacts to tribal cultural resources. By taking this proactive approach, the legislature also intended to reduce the potential for delay and conflicts in the environmental review process. To help determine whether a project may have such an effect, the Public Resources Code requires a lead agency to consult with any California Native American tribe that requests consultation and is traditionally and culturally affiliated with the geographic area of a Proposed Project. Since the City will initiate and carry out the required AB52 Native American Consultation, the results of the consultation are not provided in this report. However, this report may be used during the consultation process, and BCR Consulting staff are available to answer questions and address comments as necessary.

Paleontological Resources. CEQA provides guidance relative to significant impacts on paleontological resources, indicating that a project would have a significant impact on paleontological resources if it disturbs or destroys a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature. Section 5097.5 of the California Public Resources Code specifies that any unauthorized removal of paleontological remains is a misdemeanor. Further, California Penal Code Section 622.5 sets the penalties for damage or removal of paleontological resources. CEQA documentation prepared for projects would be required to analyze paleontological resources as a condition of the CEQA process to disclose potential impacts. Please note that as of January 2018 paleontological resources are considered in the geological rather than cultural category. Therefore, paleontological resources are not summarized in the body of this report. A paleontological overview completed by the Western Science Center is provided as Appendix B.

NATURAL SETTING

Geology

The project is located in the southwestern portion of the Mojave Desert. Sediments within the project boundaries include two geologic units composed of: 1) Alluvial sand and gravel of creeks and major tributaries which formed during the late Holocene, and 2) gray-brown, vaguely bedded, older alluvial fan gravel (Shoemaker Gravel) of poorly sorted sub-rounded detritus of gneissic and plutonic rocks from San Gabriel mountain terrain to the south, which formed in the Pleistocene (Dibblee and Minch 2008). Field observations during the current study are basically consistent with these descriptions, and are described further in Results, below.

Hydrology

The project elevation is approximately 3,639 feet above mean sea level (AMSL). Sheetwashing and some rilling occur from southwest to northeast. The project site drains directly into the Oro Grande Wash which is adjacent to the western border of the project site. To the south, the peaks of the San Gabriel Mountains rise above 10,000 feet and are often capped with snow until late spring or early summer. The area currently exhibits a relatively arid climate, with dry, hot summers and cool winters. Rainfall ranges from five to 15 inches annually (Jaeger and Smith 1971:36-37). Precipitation usually occurs in the form of winter and spring rain or snow at high elevations, with occasional warm monsoonal showers in late summer.

Biology

The mild climate of the late Pleistocene allowed piñon-juniper woodland to thrive throughout most of the Mojave (Van Devender et al. 1987). The vegetation and climate during this epoch attracted significant numbers of Rancholabrean fauna, including dire wolf, saber toothed cat, short-faced bear, horse, camel, antelope, mammoth, as well as birds which included pelican, goose, duck, cormorant, and eagle (Reynolds 1988). The drier climate of the middle Holocene resulted in the local development of complementary flora and fauna, which remain largely intact to this day. Common native plants include creosote, cacti, rabbit bush, interior golden bush, cheese bush, species of sage, buckwheat at higher elevations and near drainages, Joshua tree, and various grasses. Common native animals include include coyotes, cottontail and jackrabbits, rats, mice, desert tortoises, roadrunners, raptors, turkey vultures, and other bird species (see Williams et al. 2008).

CULTURAL SETTING

Prehistory

The prehistoric cultural setting of the Mojave Desert has been organized into many chronological frameworks (see Warren and Crabtree 1986; Bettinger and Taylor 1974; Lanning 1963; Hunt 1960; Wallace 1958, 1962, 1977; Wallace and Taylor 1978; Campbell and Campbell 1935), although there is no definitive sequence for the region. The difficulties in establishing cultural chronologies for the Mojave are a function of its enormous size and the small amount of archaeological excavations conducted there. Moreover, throughout prehistory many groups have occupied the Mojave and their territories often overlap spatially and chronologically resulting in mixed artifact deposits. Due to dry climate and capricious geological processes, these artifacts rarely become integrated in-situ. Lacking a milieu hospitable to the preservation of cultural midden, Mojave chronologies have relied upon temporally diagnostic artifacts, such as projectile points, or upon the presence/absence of other temporal indicators, such as groundstone. Such methods are instructive, but can be limited by prehistoric occupants' concurrent use of different artifact styles, or by artifact re-use or re-sharpening, as well as researchers' mistaken diagnosis, and other factors (see Flenniken 1985; Flenniken and Raymond 1986; Flenniken and Wilke 1989). Recognizing the shortcomings of comparative temporal indicators, this study synthesizes Warren and Crabree (1986), who have drawn upon this method to produce a commonly cited and relatively comprehensive chronology.

Paleoindian (12,000 to 10,000 BP) and Lake Mojave (10,000 to 7,000 BP) Periods. Climatic warming characterizes the transition from the Paleoindian Period to the Lake Mojave

Period. This transition also marks the end of Pleistocene Epoch and ushers in the Holocene. The Paleoindian Period has been loosely defined by isolated fluted (such as Clovis) projectile points, dated by their association with similar artifacts discovered in-situ in the Great Plains (Sutton 1996:227-228). Some fluted bifaces have been associated with fossil remains of Rancholabrean mammals approximately dated to ca. 13,300-10,800 BP near China Lake in the northern Mojave Desert. The Lake Mojave Period has been associated with cultural adaptations to moist conditions, and resource allocation pointing to more lacustrine environments than previously (Bedwell 1973; Hester 1973). Artifacts that characterize this period include stemmed points, flake and core scrapers, choppers, hammerstones, and crescentics (Warren and Crabtree 1986:184). Projectile points associated with the period include the Silver Lake and Lake Mojave styles. Lake Mojave sites commonly occur on shorelines of Pleistocene lakes and streams, where geological surfaces of that epoch have been identified (Basgall and Hall 1994:69).

Pinto Period (7,000 to 4,000 BP). The Pinto Period has been largely characterized by desiccation of the Mojave. As formerly rich lacustrine environments began to disappear, the artifact record reveals more sporadic occupation of the Mojave, indicating occupants' recession to the more hospitable fringes (Warren 1984). Pinto Period sites are rare, and are characterized by surface manifestations that usually lack significant in-situ remains. Artifacts from this era include Pinto projectile points and a flake industry similar to the Lake Mojave tool complex (Warren 1984), though use of Pinto projectile points as an index artifact for the era has been disputed (see Schroth 1994). Milling stones have also occasionally been associated with sites of this period (Warren 1984).

Gypsum Period. (4,000 to 1,500 BP). A temporary return to moister conditions during the Gypsum Period is postulated to have encouraged technological diversification afforded by the relative abundance of resources (Warren 1984:419-420; Warren and Crabtree 1986:189). Lacustrine environments reappear and begin to be exploited during this era (Shutler 1961, 1968). Concurrently a more diverse artifact assemblage reflects intensified reliance on plant resources. The new artifacts include milling stones, mortars, pestles, and a proliferation of Humboldt Concave Base, Gypsum Cave, Elko Eared, and Elko Corner-notched dart points (Warren 1984; Warren and Crabtree 1986). Other artifacts include leaf-shaped projectile points, rectangular-based knives, drills, large scraper planes, choppers, hammer stones, shaft straighteners, incised stone pendants, and drilled slate tubes. The bow and arrow appears around 2,000 BP, evidenced by the presence of a smaller type of projectile point, the Rose Spring point (Rogers 1939; Shutler 1961).

Saratoga Springs Period (1,500 to 800 BP). During the Saratoga Springs Period regional cultural diversifications of Gypsum Period developments are evident within the Mojave. Basketmaker III (Anasazi) pottery appears during this period, and has been associated with turquoise mining in the eastern Mojave Desert (Warren and Crabtree 1986:191). Influences from Patayan/Yuman assemblages are apparent in the southern Mojave, and include buff and brown wares often associated with Cottonwood and Desert Side-notched projectile points (Warren 1984:423). Obsidian becomes more commonly used throughout the Mojave and characteristic artifacts of the period include milling stones, mortars, pestles, ceramics, and ornamental and ritual objects. More structured settlement patterns are evidenced by the presence of large villages, and three types of identifiable archaeological sites (major habitation, temporary camps, and processing stations) emerge (McGuire and Hall 1988). Diversity of resource exploitation continues to expand, indicating a much more generalized, somewhat less mobile subsistence strategy.

Shoshonean Period (800 BP to Contact). The Shoshonean period is the first to benefit from contact-era ethnography –as well as be subject to its inherent biases. Interviews of living informants allowed anthropologists to match artifact assemblages and particular traditions with linguistic groups, and plot them geographically (see Kroeber 1925; Gifford 1918; Strong 1929). During the Shoshonean Period continued diversification of site assemblages, and reduced Anasazi influence both coincide with the expansion of Numic (Uto-Aztecan language family) speakers across the Great Basin, Takic (Uto-Aztecan language family) speakers into southern California, and the Hopi across the Southwest (Sutton 1996). Hunting and gathering continued to diversify, and the diagnostic arrow points include desert side-notch and cottonwood triangular. Ceramics continue to proliferate, though are more common in the southern Mojave during this period (Warren and Crabtree 1986). Trade routes have become well established across the Mojave, particularly the Mojave Trail, which transported goods and news across the desert via the Mojave River, to the west of the current project. Trade in the western Mojave was more closely related to coastal groups than others.

Ethnography

The Uto-Aztecan "Serrano" people occupied the western Mojave Desert periphery. Kroeber (1925) applied the generic term "Serrano" to four groups, each with distinct territories: the Kitanemuk, Tataviam, Vanyume, and Serrano. Only one group, in the San Bernardino Mountains and West-Central Mojave Desert, ethnically claims the term Serrano. Bean and Smith (1978) indicate that the Vanyume, an obscure Takic population, was found along the Mojave River at the time of Spanish contact. The Kitanemuk lived to the north and west, while the Tataviam lived to the west. The Serrano lived mainly to the south (Bean and Smith 1978). All may have used the western Mojave area seasonally. Historical records are unclear concerning precise territory and village locations. It is doubtful that any group, except the Vanyume, actually lived in the region for several seasons yearly.

History

Historic-era California is generally divided into three periods: the Spanish or Mission Period (1769 to 1821), the Mexican or Rancho Period (1821 to 1848), and the American Period (1848 to present).

Spanish Period. The first European to pass through the project area is thought to be a Spaniard called Father Francisco Garces. Having become familiar with the area, Garces acted as a guide to Juan Bautista de Anza, who had been commissioned to lead a group across the desert from a Spanish outpost in Arizona to set up quarters at the Mission San Gabriel in 1771 near what today is Pasadena (Beck and Haase 1974). This is the first recorded group crossing of the Mojave Desert and, according to Father Garces' journal, they camped at the headwaters of the Mojave River, one night less than a day's march from the mountains. Today, this is estimated to have been approximately 11 miles southeast of Victorville (Marenczuk 1962). Garces was followed by Alta California Governor Pedro Fages, who briefly explored the western Mojave region in 1772. Searching for San Diego Presidio deserters, Fages had traveled north through Riverside to San Bernardino, crossed over the mountains into the Mojave Desert, and then journeyed westward to the San Joaquin Valley (Beck and Haase 1974).

Mexican Period. In 1821, Mexico overthrew Spanish rule and the missions began to decline. By 1833, the Mexican government passed the Secularization Act, and the missions,

reorganized as parish churches, lost their vast land holdings, and released their neophytes (Beattie and Beattie 1974).

American Period. The American Period, 1848–Present, began with the Treaty of Guadalupe Hidalgo. The Gold Rush had attracted huge numbers of American settlers and in 1850, California was accepted into the Union. The cattle industry reached its greatest prosperity during the first years of the American Period. Mexican Period land grants had created large pastoral estates in California, and demand for beef during the Gold Rush led to a cattle boom that lasted from 1849–1855. However, beginning about 1855, the demand for beef began to decline due to imports of sheep and cattle from the eastern U.S. When the beef market collapsed, many California ranchers lost their ranchos. A series of disastrous floods in 1861–1862, followed by a significant drought diminished the economic impact of local ranching. This decline combined with ubiquitous agricultural and real estate developments of the late 19th century, set the stage for diversified economic pursuits that have continued to proliferate to this day (Beattie and Beattie 1974; Cleland 1941).

PERSONNEL

David Brunzell, M.A., RPA acted as the Project Manager/Principal Investigator for the current study and authored the technical report with contributions from BCR Consulting Archaeological Crew Chief Nicholas Shepetuk, B.A. Mr. Brunzell performed the records search results through records of the South Central Coastal Information Center (SCCIC) at California State University, Fullerton. BCR Consulting Archaeological Field Technician John JP Defachelle, B.S. carried out the pedestrian field survey.

METHODS

Research

Mr. Brunzell completed an archaeological records search using SCCIC records of California State University, Fullerton for the current project. This archival research reviewed the status of all recorded historic and prehistoric cultural resources, and survey and excavation reports completed within the project site boundaries and within a one half-mile radius of the project site. Additional resources reviewed included the National Register of Historic Places (National Register), the California Register, the Built Environmental Resource Directory (BERD), and documents and inventories published by the California Office of Historic Preservation. These include the lists of California Historical Landmarks, California Points of Historical Interest, Listing of National Register Properties, and the Inventory of Historic Structures.

Field Survey

An intensive-level cultural resources field survey of the project site was conducted on June 5, 2023. The survey was conducted by walking parallel transects spaced approximately 15 meters apart across the project site. Digital photographs were taken at various points within the project site.

RESULTS

Research

Data from the South Central Coastal Information Center (SCCIC) revealed that 17 previous cultural resource studies have taken place, and 17 cultural resources have been identified

within the one half-mile research radius. None of the previous studies have assessed the project site and no cultural resources have been identified within its boundaries. The records search results are summarized below, and a bibliography is provided in Appendix D.

Table A. Cultural Resources and Reports Within One Half-Mile of the Project Site

USGS Quad	Cultural Resources	Studies
Baldy Mesa (1988), *California*	P-36-4179: Canal Lane Historic Road (0.2-Miles E) P-36-4266: Prehistoric Lithic Scatter And Hearth (0.3-Miles S) P-36-4267: Oak Hill Cutoff (0.1-Miles NW) P-36-4268: White Road Cutoff (0.4-Miles N) P-36-7545: US Hwy 395 (Adjacent To E Side) P-36-7680: Historic-Period Trash Scatter (0.4-Miles SW) P-36-7757: Historic-Period Trash Scatter (0.4-Miles N) P-36-7758: Historic-Period Road "1540" (0.3 Miles N) P-36-10288: John E Dufton Homestead (0.2-Miles E) P-36-12341: Historic-Period Trash Scatter (0.25-Miles NE) P-36-12342: Historic-Period Trash Scatter (0.2-Miles NE) P-36-12343: Historic-Period Trash Scatter (0.4-Miles ENE) P-36-12344: Historic-Period Road (0.4-Miles NNE) P-36-12345: Historic-Period Road (0.2-Miles NE) P-36-12346: Historic-Period Road (0.1-Miles NE) P-36-12347: Prehistoric Lithic Scatter (0.25-Miles NE) P-36-13374: Historic-Period Trash Scatter (0.45-Miles SW)	SB-191, 1474, 2229, 2476, 2674, 2730, 2732, 2802, 3020, 3336, 3448, 4286, 4289, 4309, 4580, 5698, 6164

Field Survey

During the field survey, BCR Consulting archaeologists identified no cultural resources (including historic-period or prehistoric archaeological sites, or historic-period architectural resources) of any kind within the project site boundaries. The project area has been subject to disturbances related to the development of two modern building pads, curbing section, communications vault, modern refuse dumping, and offroad vehicle use. Vegetation consisted of scrubland dominated by sticky leaf rabbitbrush. The surrounding land features vegetation dominated by Joshua tree woodland and creosote scrub. The visibility in the project site was approximately 85-90%. Surficial sediments observed within the site were light brown, dry, sandy silt with low to moderate levels of gravel and moderate levels of rounded cobbles.

RECOMMENDATIONS

BCR Consulting conducted a cultural resources assessment of the Hesperia Project in the City of Hesperia, San Bernardino County, California. During the records search and field survey, no cultural resources of any kind (including historic-period or prehistoric archaeological resources, or historic-period architectural resources) were identified within the project site boundaries. Therefore, no significant impact related to historical resources is anticipated and no further investigations are recommended unless:

- The proposed project is changed to include areas that have not been subject to this cultural resource assessment;
- Cultural materials are encountered during project activities.

The current study attempted to determine whether significant archaeological deposits were present on the proposed project site. Although none were yielded during the records search and field survey, ground-disturbing activities have the potential to reveal buried deposits not observed on the surface. Prior to the initiation of ground-disturbing activities, field personnel should be alerted to the possibility of buried prehistoric or historic cultural deposits. In the event that field personnel encounter buried cultural materials, work in the immediate vicinity of the find should cease and a qualified archaeologist should be retained to assess the significance of the find. The qualified archaeologist shall have the authority to stop or divert construction excavation as necessary. If the qualified archaeologist finds that any cultural resources present meet eligibility requirements for listing on the California Register or the National Register of Historic Places (National Register), plans for the treatment, evaluation, and mitigation of impacts to the find will need to be developed. Prehistoric or historic cultural materials that may be encountered during ground-disturbing activities include:

- historic-period artifacts such as glass bottles and fragments, cans, nails, ceramic and pottery fragments, and other metal objects;
- historic-period structural or building foundations, walkways, cisterns, pipes, privies, and other structural elements;
- prehistoric flaked-stone artifacts and debitage (waste material), consisting of obsidian, basalt, and or cryptocrystalline silicates;
- groundstone artifacts, including mortars, pestles, and grinding slabs;
- dark, greasy soil that may be associated with charcoal, ash, bone, shell, flaked stone, groundstone, and fire affected rocks;
- human remains.

Findings were positive during the Sacred Lands File search with the NAHC. The NAHC did not indicate the nature or location of the resources(s), but recommended contacting representatives of the San Manuel Band of Mission Indians and the Chemehuevi Indian Tribe for more information. Representatives from both entities were emailed on February 8, 2028 and no response has been received to date (see Appendix A). The City will initiate Senate Bill Assembly Bill (AB) 52 Native American Consultation for the project. Since the city will initiate and carry out the required Native American Consultation, the results of the consultation are not provided in this request. However, this report may be used during the consultation process, and BCR Consulting staff is available to answer questions and address concerns as necessary.

According to CEQA Guidelines, projects subject to CEQA must determine whether the project would “directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource”. The Paleontological Overview provided in Appendix B has recommended that:

> The geologic units underlying this project are mapped entirely as alluvial deposits dating from the Pleistocene to Holocene epochs (Dibblee & Minch, 2008). Pleistocene alluvial units are considered to be of high paleontological sensitivity and are well known throughout southern California to contain abundant fossil resources. The Western Science Center does not have localities within the project area or within a 1-mile radius, but does have numerous localities throughout the region in similarly mapped alluvial units associated with mastodon (Mammut pacificus), mammoth (Mammuthus columbi), ancient horse (Equus sp.), camel (Camelops hesternus) and

many more.

Any fossil specimens recovered from the Hesperia Project (SRD2101) would be scientifically significant. Excavation activity associated with the development of the project area would impact the paleontologically sensitive Pleistocene sandstone units, and it is the recommendation of the Western Science Center that a paleontological resource mitigation program be put in place to monitor, salvage, and curate any recovered fossils from the study area.

If human remains are encountered during any project activities, State Health and Safety Code Section 7050.5 states that no further disturbance shall occur until the County Coroner has made a determination of origin and disposition pursuant to Public Resources Code Section 5097.98. The County Coroner must be notified of the find immediately. If the remains are determined to be prehistoric, the Coroner will notify the NAHC, which will determine and notify a Most Likely Descendant (MLD). With the permission of the landowner or his/her authorized representative, the MLD may inspect the site of the discovery. The MLD shall complete the inspection within 48 hours of notification by the NAHC

REFERENCES

Basgall, Mark E., and M.C. Hall
1994 Perspectives on the Early Holocene Archaeological Record of the Mojave Desert. In *Kelso Conference Papers 1987-1992,* edited by G.D. Everson and J.S. Schneider.

Bean, Lowell John, and Charles R. Smith
1978 *California*, edited by R.F. Heizer. Handbook of North American Indians, Vol. 8, W.C. Sturtevant, general editor, Smithsonian Institution, Washington, D.C.

Beattie, George W., and Helen P. Beattie
1974 *Heritage of the Valley: San Bernardino's First Century*. Biobooks: Oakland.

Beck, Warren A., and Ynez D. Haase
1974 *Historical Atlas of California*. Oklahoma City: University of Oklahoma Press.

Bedwell, S.F.
1973 *Fort Rock Basin: Prehistory and Environment.* University of Oregon Books, Eugene.

Bettinger, Robert L., and R.E. Taylor
1974 Suggested Revisions in Archaeological Sequences of the Great Basin and Interior Southern California. *Nevada Archaeological Survey Research Papers* 3:1-26.

Campbell, E., and W. Campbell
1935 The Pinto Basin. *Southwest Museum Papers* 9:1-51.

Cleland, Robert Glass
1941 *The Cattle on a Thousand Hills—Southern California, 1850-80.* San Marino, California: Huntington Library.

Dibblee, Jr., Thomas W., and John A. Minch
2008 Geologic Map of the Hesperia 15 Minute Quadrangle, San Bernardino County, California. Santa Barbara Museum of Natural History, California.

Flenniken, J.J.
1985 Stone Tool Reduction Techniques as Cultural Markers. *Stone Tool Analysis: Essays in Honor of Don E. Crabtree,* edited by M.G. Plew, J.C. Woods, and M.G. Pavesic. University of New Mexico Press, Albuquerque.

Flenniken, J.J. and A.W. Raymond
1986 Morphological Projectile Point Typology: Replication, Experimentation, and Technological Analysis. *American Antiquity* 51:603-614.

Flenniken, J.J. and Philip J. Wilke
1989 Typology, Technology, and Chronology of Great Basin Dart Points. *American Anthropologist* 91:149-158.

Gifford, Edward W.
1918 Clans and Moieties in Southern California. *University of California Publications in American Archaeology and Anthropology* 14(22)155-219.

Hester, T.R.
1973 *Chronological Ordering of Great Basin Prehistory.* Contributions of the Archaeological Research Facility 17, University of California, Berkeley.

Hunt, Alice P.
1960 *The Archaeology of the Death Valley Salt Pan, California.* University of Utah Anthropological Papers No. 47.

Jaeger, Edmund C., and Arthur C. Smith
1971 *Introduction to the Natural History of Southern California*. California Natural History Guides: 13. Los Angeles: University of California Press.

Kroeber, Alfred L.
1925 *Handbook of the Indians of California.* Bureau of American Ethnology Bulletin 78. Washington D.C.: Smithsonian Institution. Reprinted in 1976, New York: Dover.

Lanning, Edward P.
1963 The Archaeology of the Rose Spring Site (Iny-372). *University of California Publications in American Archaeology and Ethnology* 49(3):237-336.

Marenczuk, Wesley
1962 *The Story of Oro Grande.* Published by Author. Victor Valley College.

McGuire, K.R., and M.C. Hall
1988 *The Archaeology of Tiefort Basin, Fort Irwin, San Bernardino County, California.* Prepared for the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Los Angeles District.

Reynolds, R.E.
1988 *Paleontologic Resource Overview and Management Plan for Edwards Air Force Base, California.* San Bernardino County Museum, Redlands, California.

Rogers, M.J.
1939 *Early Lithic Industries of the Lower Basin of the Colorado River and Adjacent Desert Areas.* San Diego Museum Papers No. 3.

Schroth, Adella Beverly
1994 *The Pinto Point Controversy in the Western United States.* Unpublished PhD Dissertation, University of California, Riverside.

Shutler, Richard, Jr.

1961 *Lost City, Pueblo Grande de Nevada.* NV State Museum Anthropological Papers 5.

1968 The Great Basin Archaic. In Prehistory in the Western United States. *Contributions in Anthropology* 1(3):24-26. Edited by C. Irwin-Williams, Eastern New Mexico Univ.

Strong, William Duncan
1929 Aboriginal Society in Southern California. *University of California Publications in American Archaeology and Ethnology* 26(1):1-358.

Sutton, Mark Q.
1996 The Current Status of Archaeological Research in the Mojave Desert. *Journal of California and Great Basin Anthropology* 18(2):221-257.

United States Geological Survey
1988 *Baldy Mesa, California* 7.5-minute topographic quadrangle map.

Van Devender, Larry M., Gary L. Shumway, and Russell D. Hartill
1987 *Desert Fever: An Overview of Mining in the California Desert.* Living West Press, Canoga Park, California.

Wallace, William J.
1958 Archaeological Investigation in Death Valley National Monument. *University of California Archaeological Survey Reports* 42:7-22.

1962 Prehistoric Cultural Development in the Southern California Deserts. *American Antiquity* 28(2):172-180.

1977 A Half Century of Death Valley Archaeology. *The Journal of California Anthropology* 4(2):249-258.

Wallace, William J., and Edith S. Taylor
1978 *Ancient Peoples and Cultures of Death Valley National Monument.* Acoma Books, Ramona, California.

Warren, Claude N.
1984 The Desert Region. In *California Archaeology*, by M. Moratto, contributions by D.A. Fredrickson, C. Raven, and C.N. Warren, pp. 339–430. Academic Press, Orlando, Florida.

Warren, Claude N., and R.H. Crabtree
1986 The Prehistory of the Southwestern Great Basin. In *Handbook of the North American Indians, Vol. 11, Great Basin,* edited by W.L. d'Azevedo, pp.183-193. W.C. Sturtevant, General Editor. Smithsonian Institution, Washington D.C.

Williams, Patricia, Leah Messinger, Sarah Johnson
2008 *Habitats Alive! An Ecological Guide to California's Diverse Habitats.* California Institute for Biodiversity, Claremont, California.

APPENDIX A

NATIVE AMERICAN HERITAGE COMMISSION CORRESPONDENCE

NATIVE AMERICAN HERITAGE COMMISSION

NAHC HEADQUARTERS
1550 Harbor Boulevard
Suite 100
West Sacramento,
California 95691
(916) 373-3710
nahc@nahc.ca.gov
NAHC.ca.gov

November 17, 2021

BCR Consulting LLC

Via Email to: BCRLLC2008@gmail.com

Re: Hesperia (SRD2101) Project, San Bernardino County

To Whom It May Concern:

A record search of the Native American Heritage Commission (NAHC) Sacred Lands File (SLF) was completed for the information submitted for the above referenced project. The results were positive. Please contact the Chemehuevi Indian Tribe and the San Manuel Band of Mission Indians on the attached list for information. Please note that tribes do not always record their sacred sites in the SLF, nor are they required to do so. A SLF search is not a substitute for consultation with tribes that are traditionally and culturally affiliated with a project's geographic area. Other sources of cultural resources should also be contacted for information regarding known and recorded sites, such as the appropriate regional California Historical Research Information System (CHRIS) archaeological Information Center for the presence of recorded archaeological sites.

Attached is a list of Native American tribes who may also have knowledge of cultural resources in the project area. This list should provide a starting place in locating areas of potential adverse impact within the proposed project area. Please contact all of those listed; if they cannot supply information, they may recommend others with specific knowledge. By contacting all those listed, your organization will be better able to respond to claims of failure to consult with the appropriate tribe. If a response has not been received within two weeks of notification, the Commission requests that you follow-up with a telephone call or email to ensure that the project information has been received.

If you receive notification of change of addresses and phone numbers from tribes, please notify the NAHC. With your assistance, we can assure that our lists contain current information.

If you have any questions or need additional information, please contact me at my email address: Andrew.Green@nahc.ca.gov.

Sincerely,

Andrew Green

Andrew Green
Cultural Resources Analyst

Attachment

Native American Heritage Commission
Native American Contact List
San Bernardino County
11/17/2021

Chemehuevi Indian Tribe
Sierra Pencille, Chairperson
P.O. Box 1976 1990 Palo Verde Drive
Havasu Lake, CA, 92363
Phone: (760) 858 - 4219
Fax: (760) 858-5400
chairman@cit-nsn.gov
Chemehuevi

Morongo Band of Mission Indians
Ann Brierty, THPO
12700 Pumarra Road
Banning, CA, 92220
Phone: (951) 755 - 5259
Fax: (951) 572-6004
abrierty@morongo-nsn.gov
Cahuilla
Serrano

Morongo Band of Mission Indians
Robert Martin, Chairperson
12700 Pumarra Road
Banning, CA, 92220
Phone: (951) 755 - 5110
Fax: (951) 755-5177
abrierty@morongo-nsn.gov
Cahuilla
Serrano

Quechan Tribe of the Fort Yuma Reservation
Manfred Scott, Acting Chairman
Kw'ts'an Cultural Committee
P.O. Box 1899
Yuma, AZ, 85366
Phone: (928) 750 - 2516
scottmanfred@yahoo.com
Quechan

Quechan Tribe of the Fort Yuma Reservation
Jill McCormick, Historic Preservation Officer
P.O. Box 1899
Yuma, AZ, 85366
Phone: (760) 572 - 2423
historicpreservation@quechantribe.com
Quechan

San Fernando Band of Mission Indians
Donna Yocum, Chairperson
P.O. Box 221838
Newhall, CA, 91322
Phone: (503) 539 - 0933
Fax: (503) 574-3308
ddyocum@comcast.net
Kitanemuk
Vanyume
Tataviam

San Manuel Band of Mission Indians
Jessica Mauck, Director of Cultural Resources
26569 Community Center Drive
Highland, CA, 92346
Phone: (909) 864 - 8933
Jessica.Mauck@sanmanuel-nsn.gov
Serrano

Serrano Nation of Mission Indians
Mark Cochrane, Co-Chairperson
P. O. Box 343
Patton, CA, 92369
Phone: (909) 528 - 9032
serranonation1@gmail.com
Serrano

Serrano Nation of Mission Indians
Wayne Walker, Co-Chairperson
P. O. Box 343
Patton, CA, 92369
Phone: (253) 370 - 0167
serranonation1@gmail.com
Serrano

Twenty-Nine Palms Band of Mission Indians
Anthony Madrigal, Tribal Historic Preservation Officer
46-200 Harrison Place
Coachella, CA, 92236
Phone: (760) 775 - 3259
amadrigal@29palmsbomi-nsn.gov
Chemehuevi

This list is current only as of the date of this document. Distribution of this list does not relieve any person of statutory responsibility as defined in Section 7050.5 of the Health and Safety Code, Section 5097.94 of the Public Resource Section 5097.98 of the Public Resources Code.

This list is only applicable for contacting local Native Americans with regard to cultural resources assessment for the proposed Hesperia (SRD2101) Project, San Bernardino County.

Twenty-Nine Palms Band of Mission Indians
Darrell Mike, Chairperson
46-200 Harrison Place
Coachella, CA, 92236
Phone: (760) 863 - 2444
Fax: (760) 863-2449
29chairman@29palmsbomi-nsn.gov

Chemehuevi

This list is current only as of the date of this document. Distribution of this list does not relieve any person of statutory responsibility as defined in Section 7050.5 of the Health and Safety Code, Section 5097.94 of the Public Resource Section 5097.98 of the Public Resources Code.

This list is only applicable for contacting local Native Americans with regard to cultural resources assessment for the proposed Hesperia (SRD2101) Project, San Bernardino County.

Gmail **David Brunzell <bcrllc2008@gmail.com>**

Positive Sacred Lands File Search for the Hesperia Project

1 message

David Brunzell <bcrllc2008@gmail.com> Tue, Feb 8, 2022 at 5:27 PM
To: chairman@cit-nsn.gov

Chairperson Pencille,

Positive results were obtained for the Hesperia Project located in the City of Hesperia, San Bernardino County, California. The NAHC advised that we contact you. Attached you will find a project location map. Please let us know if you have any questions or concerns.

Thank you,
Nicholas Shepetuk
BCR Consulting LLC
909-525-7078
www.bcrconsulting.net

SRD2101_Fig1.pdf
1381K

Gmail **David Brunzell <bcrllc2008@gmail.com>**

Positive Sacred Lands File Search for the Hesperia Project

1 message

David Brunzell <bcrllc2008@gmail.com> Tue, Feb 8, 2022 at 5:30 PM
To: jessica.mauck@sanmanuel-nsn.gov

Director Mauck,

Positive results were obtained for the Hesperia Project located in the City of Hesperia, San Bernardino County, California. The NAHC advised that we contact you. Attached you will find a project location map. Please let us know if you have any questions or concerns.

Thank you,
Nicholas Shepetuk
BCR Consulting LLC
909-525-7078
www.bcrconsulting.net

SRD2101_Fig1.pdf
1381K

APPENDIX B

PALEONTOLOGICAL RESOURCES OVERVIEW

WESTERN SCIENCE CENTER

October 26, 2021

BCR Consulting LLC
David Brunzell
505 West 8th Street
Claremont, CA 91711

Dear Mr. Brunzell,

This letter presents the results of a record search conducted for the Hesperia Project (SRD2101) in the city of Hesperia, San Bernardino County, California. The project area is located west of California Highway 395, east of Grandview Road, north of Hollister Road, and south of Phelan Road in Section 21, Township 4 North, Range 5 West on the *Hesperia, CA* USGS 7.5-minute quadrangle.

The geologic units underlying this project are mapped entirely as alluvial deposits dating from the Pleistocene to Holocene epochs (Dibblee & Minch, 2008). Pleistocene alluvial units are considered to be of high paleontological sensitivity and are well known throughout southern California to contain abundant fossil resources. The Western Science Center does not have localities within the project area or within a 1-mile radius, but does have numerous localities throughout the region in similarly mapped alluvial units associated with mastodon (*Mammut pacificus*), mammoth (*Mammuthus columbi*), ancient horse (*Equus sp.*), camel (*Camelops hesternus*) and many more.

Any fossil specimens recovered from the Hesperia Project (SRD2101) would be scientifically significant. Excavation activity associated with the development of the project area would impact the paleontologically sensitive Pleistocene sandstone units, and it is the recommendation of the Western Science Center that a paleontological resource mitigation program be put in place to monitor, salvage, and curate any recovered fossils from the study area.

If you have any questions, or would like further information, please feel free to contact me at dradford@westerncentermuseum.org

Sincerely,

Darla Radford
Collections Manager

APPENDIX C

PROJECT PHOTOGRAPHS



Photo 1: project site overview



Photo 2: project site overview



Photo 3: project site overview

Photo 4: project site overview

APPENDIX D

RECORDS SEARCH BIBLIOGRAPHY

Report List

SRD2101

Report No.	Other IDs	Year	Author(s)	Title	Affiliation	Resources
SB-00191	NADB-R - 1060191; Voided - 73-12.2A	1973	SMITH, GERALD A.	ARCHAEOLOGICAL, HISTORICAL AND PALEONTOLOGICAL SITE SURVEY FOR COUNTY SERVICE AREA NO. 70 IMPROVEMENT ZONE "J", ASSESSMENT OF IMPACT AND RECOMMENDATIONS	SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY MUSEUM ASSOCIATION	36-002208
SB-01474	NADB-R - 1061474; Voided - 84-12.2	1984	SMITH, GERALD A. and E. GARY STICKEL	A CULTURAL RESOURCES ASSESSMENT OF THE PHELAN ROAD IMPROVEMENT PROJECT, HO9155, BALDY MESA AREA, SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY, CALIFORNIA	SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY MUSEUM ASSOCIATION	
SB-02229	NADB-R - 1062229; Voided - 91-1.5	1991	SHINN, JUANITA R.	ARCHAEOLOGICAL ASSESSMENT OF 10 ACRE PARCEL FOR CREATIVE BOUNDARIES	RMW PALEO	
SB-02476	NADB-R - 1062476; Voided - 91-11.6	1991	MCKENNA, JEANETTE A.	A PHASE I LINEAR SURVEY: CULTURAL RESOURCES INVESTIGATIONS FOR THE HESPERIA IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT, HESPERIA, SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY, CALIFORNIA	MCKENNA ET AL.	
SB-02674	NADB-R - 1062674; Voided - 92-7.5	1992	SINGER, CLAY A., JOHN E. ATWOOD, and BARBIE S. LANEY	CULTURAL RESOURCES SURVEY AND IMPACT ASSESSMENT FOR APN 404-281-36 IN THE BALDY MESA AREA OF SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY, CALIFORNIA	C.A. SINGER & ASSOCIATES	36-004272
SB-02730	NADB-R - 1062730	1993	MCKENNA, JEANETTE A.	CULTURAL RESOURCES INVESTIGATIONS OF THE TRACY SMITH PROPERTY, APN-404-092-53 (TPM 14387), SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY, CA	MCKENNA ET AL	36-007680
SB-02732	NADB-R - 1062732	1992	PARR, ROBERT E.	AN ARCHAEOLOGICAL ASSESSMENT OF TENTATIVE PARCEL MAP #14242 BALDY MESA, SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY,CA	CSUB	36-004179
SB-02802	NADB-R - 1062802	1993	BROCK, JAMES	HISTORICAL STRUCTURES ASSESSMENT FOR THE PHELAN ROAD WIDENING PROJECT, BALDY MESA ROAD TO LOS BANOS ROAD, COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO, CA	ARCHAEOLOGICAL ADVISORY GROUP	

Report List

SRD2101

Report No.	Other IDs	Year	Author(s)	Title	Affiliation	Resources
SB-03020	NADB-R - 1063020	1993	STURM, BRAD, D. MCLEAN, K. BECKER, and J. ROSENTHAL	(DRAFT) ADELANTO-LUGO TRANSMISSION PROJECT CULTURAL RESOURCES ASSESSMENT	WOODWARD-CLYDE	36-002910, 36-004019, 36-004251, 36-004255, 36-004266, 36-004267, 36-004268, 36-004269, 36-004272, 36-004274, 36-004275, 36-004276, 36-004411, 36-006353, 36-006532, 36-006533, 36-007739, 36-007740, 36-007741, 36-007742, 36-007743, 36-007744, 36-007745, 36-007746, 36-007747, 36-007748, 36-007749, 36-007750, 36-007751, 36-007752, 36-007753, 36-007754, 36-007755, 36-007756, 36-007757, 36-007758, 36-007759, 36-007760, 36-007761, 36-007762, 36-007763
SB-03336	NADB-R - 1063336	1985	REYNOLDS, ROBERT E.	DRY CREEK FIREWOOD SALE. 18PP	SBNF	36-001592, 36-010264, 36-010265
SB-03448	NADB-R - 1063448	2000	ALEXANDROWICZ, JOHN STEPHEN	A HISTORICAL RESOURCES IDENTIFICATION INVESTIGATION FOR THE LITTLE SISTERS TRUCK WASH, CITY OF HESPERIA. 63PP	ACS	36-010287, 36-010288
SB-04286	NADB-R - 1064286	1999	LOVE, BRUCE	08-SBD HESPERIA PARK & RIDE FACILITY AT THE INTERSECTION OF US 395 & JOSHUA ST NEAR THE CITY OF HESPERIA. 10PP	CRM TECH	
SB-04289	NADB-R - 1064289	2003	WHITE, ROBERT S. and LAURA S. WHITE	A CULTURAL RESOURCES ASSESSMENT OF TEH SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY SPECIAL DISTRICTS CSA 70 ZONE J CASITA AVE WATER PIPELINE PROJECT NEAR HESPERIA, SAN BERNARDINGO CO. 18PP	ARCHAEOLOGICAL ASSOCIATES	36-004246
SB-04309	NADB-R - 1064309	2000	MCKENNA, JEANETTE A.	RESULTS OF A PHASSE I CULTURAL RESOURCES INVESTIGATION OF THE NICK ADAMS PROPERTY,(APN: 3039-321-03), SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY, CA. 12PP	MCKENNA ET AL	
SB-04580	NADB-R - 1064580	2005	Hatheway, Roger	A Phase I Historical and Archaeological Survey of the Caliente Industrial Park Property, Assessor Parcel # 3039-321-08-0000, City of Hesperia, California.		

Report List

SRD2101

Report No.	Other IDs	Year	Author(s)	Title	Affiliation	Resources
SB-05698	NADB-R - 1065698	2007	Hogan, Michael	Historical/Archaeological Resources Survey Report: US Highway 395 Realignment EIR, Victorville Area, San Bernardino County, California.	CRM Tech	36-004179, 36-004252, 36-004253, 36-004262, 36-004267, 36-004268, 36-004270, 36-004271, 36-004272, 36-004411, 36-004418, 36-006828, 36-007545, 36-007694, 36-008082, 36-010316, 36-012150, 36-012469, 36-013356, 36-013357, 36-013358, 36-013359, 36-013360, 36-013361, 36-013362, 36-013363, 36-013364, 36-013372, 36-013373, 36-013374, 36-013375, 36-013376, 36-013377, 36-013378, 36-013379, 36-013380, 36-013381, 36-013382, 36-013383, 36-013384, 36-013385, 36-013386
SB-06164		2007	Sander, Jay	Cultural Resources Inventory of APN 3064-561-12 Hesperia, San Bernardino County, California	Chambers Group	36-004266

Resource List

SRD2101

Primary No.	Trinomial	Other IDs	Type	Age	Attribute codes	Recorded by	Reports
P-36-004179	CA-SBR-004179H	Other - Canal Lane Historic Road; Resource Name - Lanes Crossing Toll Road; Resource Name - SBCM-4579	Other	Historic	AH07	1980 (R. Reynolds); 1980 (R. Reynolds); 2007 (Ballester, CRM Tech); 2007 (Ballester, CRM TECH); 2009 (ESA); 2010 (Molly Valask)	SB-00986, SB-01027, SB-01734, SB-02732, SB-04290, SB-05698, SB-07081, SB-07495, SB-07971
P-36-004266	CA-SBR-004266	Resource Name - Oro Grande Wash #4; Other - SBCM-4656	Site	Prehistoric	AP02; AP11	1980 (R.Reynolds); 1993 (Becker, RMW Paleo)	SB-01027, SB-03020, SB-06164
P-36-004267	CA-SBR-004267H	Resource Name - Oro Grande Wash - Oak Hill Cutoff; Other - SBCM-4657	Site	Historic	AH07	1980 (R.Reynolds, SBCM); 1993 (Kenneth Becker, RMW Paleo Associates); 2007 (Daniel Ballester, CRM Tech); 2007 (M. Linder, Applied Earthworks)	SB-01027, SB-03020, SB-04290, SB-05698
P-36-004268	CA-SBR-004268H	Resource Name - Oro Grande Wash - White Road Cutoff; Other - SBCM-4658	Site	Historic	AH07	1980 (R.Reynolds, SBCM); 1993 (Kenneth Becker, RMW); 1993 (Jeanette Mckenna, McKenna et al.); 1995 (J. Brock, Archaeo. Advisory Group); 2007 (Daniel Ballester, CRM TECH)	SB-01027, SB-01734, SB-02795, SB-02796, SB-03020, SB-03110, SB-04290, SB-05698
P-36-007545	CA-SBR-007545H	Other - State Route 395/PM 29.3-PM 30, Adelanto; Resource Name - U.S. Highway 395; Other - GD-36-4; Other - Hwy 395	Structure	Historic	AH07; AH16; HP37	1993 (T Wahoff, L Peterson, Dames & Moore); 1996 (David Bricker, Caltrans District 8); 1997 (David Bricker, Caltrans District 8); 2000 (Dr J Underwood, S Rose, KEA Environmental); 2007 (Daniel Ballester, CRM Tech); 2007 (Daniel Ballester, CRM Tech); 2009 (Katherine Anderson, ESA); 2010 (Molly Valasik); 2010 (S. Jow, AECOM); 2013 (Linda Honey, Great Basin Sage, Inc); 2013 (D. Martinez, Far Western); 2014 (J Hall, C Morgan, LSA)	SB-03070, SB-03112, SB-04290, SB-05116, SB-05698, SB-06224, SB-06860, SB-07081, SB-07156, SB-07381, SB-07495, SB-07570, SB-07895, SB-07944, SB-07971, SB-08031, SB-08090
P-36-007680	CA-SBR-007680H	Resource Name - SMITH-1	Site	Historic	AH04	1993 (Jeanette McKenna, McKenna et al.)	SB-02730
P-36-007757	CA-SBR-007757H	Resource Name - 1520	Site	Historic	AH04; AH16	1993 (BECKER ET AL, RMW Paleo Associates)	SB-03020

Resource List

SRD2101

Primary No.	Trinomial	Other IDs	Type	Age	Attribute codes	Recorded by	Reports
P-36-007758	CA-SBR-007758H	Resource Name - 1540	Site	Historic	AH07	1993 (BECKER ET AL, RMW Paleo Associates)	SB-03020
P-36-010288	CA-SBR-010288H	Other - ACS004-2 Historic Campsite/Homestead; Resource Name - John E. Dufton Homestead; Resource Name - William Goatman Property	Site	Historic	AH04; AH07; HP32; HP33	2000 (J.S. Alexandrowicz, Archaeological Consulting Services); 2015 (Jeanette Mckenna, McKenna et al.)	SB-03448, SB-04284, SB-08205
P-36-012341	CA-SBR-012219H	Resource Name - SRI-3	Site	Historic	AH04	2005 (S. Norris, SRI)	
P-36-012342	CA-SBR-012220H	Resource Name - SRI-4	Site	Historic	AH04	2005 (S. Norris, SRI)	
P-36-012343	CA-SBR-012221H	Resource Name - SRI-5	Site	Historic	AH04	2005 (K. Becker, SRI)	
P-36-012344	CA-SBR-012222H	Resource Name - SRI Road 1	Site	Historic	AH07; HP37	2005 (V. Austerman, SRI)	
P-36-012345	CA-SBR-012223H	Resource Name - SRI Road 3	Site	Historic	AH07; HP37	2005 (V. Austerman, SRI)	
P-36-012346	CA-SBR-012224H	Resource Name - SRI Road 6	Site	Historic	AH07; HP37	2005 (V. Austerman, SRI)	
P-36-012347		Resource Name - ISO-1	Other	Prehistoric	AP02	2005 (K. Becker, SRI)	
P-36-013374		Resource Name - Isolate 1949-1	Other	Historic	AH04	2007 (Daniel Ballester, CRM TECH)	SB-05698